

Guidelines for Manuscript Review

The reviewers objectively review the manuscript to determine whether it has an appropriate level of quality for each major field, considering the originality, validity, academic contribution of manuscript.

1. Originality

The manuscript has not been published elsewhere, and should be original. Reviewer reviews whether it satisfies one or more of the followings :

- ① Are the topic, contents, and methods original?
- ② Is the manuscript raising an important problem to academic community and society?
- ③ Does the manuscript make a great contribution to the explanation of current phenomenon?
- ④ Does the manuscript represent a technical review and experience that has a lot of creativity?
- ⑤ Does the manuscript synthetically organize for a timely topic and suggest new opinions?

2. Usefulness

The contents of manuscript have academic and practical values. Reviewer reviews whether they satisfy one or more of the followings:

- ① Are the topic and contents appropriate in a timely manner?
- ② Are there a lot of application, utility, and possibility with reference to research and technological performance?
- ③ Is it worth to be used in practice?
- ④ Does it systemize the researches on major field and provide new directions for future?

3. Completeness

The manuscript is presented both exactly and concisely according to the Manuscript Editing Guidelines. Reviews refer to the followings:

- ① Is the general presentation of sentence proper?
- ② Are the tables and figures clear and appropriate?
- ③ Are the cited literatures and references properly presented?

4. Reliability

The contents of manuscript do not contains any errors and are objectively reliable. Reviews refer to the followings:

- ① Is the outline of the paper appropriate?
- ② Are the purpose and conclusion of paper clear?
- ③ Does it have an appropriate relationship with prior research and
- ④ Have all important literatures been cited and properly evaluated?
- ⑤ Is an appropriate conclusion induced through the comparison and evaluation with existing technology and research accomplishment?

Primary Checklist for Manuscript Editing

- ☐ Are Hangul and English title, author(s) name(s), abstract, keywords, corresponding author, acknowledgements, and others properly presented according to the Manuscript Editing Guidelines?
- ☐ Are both tables and figures properly presented according to the 4 of Manuscript Editing Guidelines in English (Times New Roman 8pt, caption : Malgun Gothic 8pt)?
- ☐ Are cited and referenced literatures properly presented according to the 6 of Manuscript Editing Guidelines in English (Times New Roman 9pt)?
- ☐ Are references properly presented according to the 7 of Manuscript Editing Guidelines in English (Times New Roman 9pt)?

Copyright Transfer Agreement

- ☐ Manuscript Title
 - Hangul :
 - English :
- ☐ Author(s)' Name(s)
 - Mail Author (First Author) :
 - Corresponding Author :
 - Co-Author(s) :

The author(s) hope(s) this manuscript to be published at the Journal of Architectural Institute of Korea, and agree(s) to the following conditions :

1. The author(s) confirm(s) that this manuscript is creative and do(es) not violate the copyright of other researchers.
2. The author(s) confirm(s) that they have made actual contributions to the present manuscript and are responsible for its overall contents.
3. This manuscript has not been published in the past, and is not planned to be submitted to another academic journal for publication.
4. Publisher of The Journal Architectural Institute of Korea has the exclusive right to make formal objections against violations of copyright committed by third parties without permission of author(s) and the publisher.
5. When this manuscript is published at The Journal Architectural Institute of Korea, the author(s) transfer(s) the copyright - exclusive right on the manuscript of current condition in every kind of media and after version or revised version to Architectural Institute of Korea.